

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
10 ELIDA GONZALEZ,

No. C 12-1139 SI

11 Plaintiff,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

12 v.

13 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15 _____/

16 In an order filed March 14, 2012, the Court granted plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*
17 *pauperis*. The Order also noted that according to the complaint, plaintiff resides in Folsom, California,
18 where the property that is the subject of the foreclosure proceedings is located. Folsom, California is
19 located within the Eastern District of California. The March 14, 2012 order stated that the Court
20 intended to transfer this case to the Eastern District of California, and that if any party objected to such
21 a transfer, they should file a statement to that effect by **March 26, 2012**.

22 The March 14, 2012 order was mailed to plaintiff's address of record, and returned to the Court
23 as undeliverable. Docket Nos. 7 & 8. Plaintiff has not taken any action in this case since filing the
24 complaint.

25 Accordingly, plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing by April 6, 2012**, why
26 this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See* Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(a).
27 If plaintiff does not respond to this Order to Show Cause, the Court will dismiss this case without
28 prejudice. If plaintiff responds to the Order to Show Cause, plaintiff should state whether she objects

1 to transfer of this case to the Eastern District of California.

2
3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: March 26, 2012



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge